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#### Abstract

Di- and trisubstituted nitroalkenes tethered to dipolarophiles (unsaturated esters, nitriles) undergo tandem [ $4+$ $2] /[3+2]$ cycloadditions with 2,3-dimethyl-2-butene or butyl vinyl ether in the presence of Lewis acids. For the dimethylene tether 1 , the tandem cycloadduct 4 is the direct reaction product. The $E$ configuration of the dipolarophile is preferred, and the products arise selectively from a syn-endo pathway. For a trimethylene-tethered precursors 2 the initial [ $4+2]$ cycloadducts 9 are isolable and undergo the second [ $3+2]$-dipolar cycloaddition upon brief warming via a syn-exo pathway. The resulting nitroso acetals ( $\mathbf{4 b B} / \mathbf{B}^{\prime}$ and $11 \mathrm{aB} / \mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ ) are cleaved with hydrogen and Raney nickel to afford the tricyclic lactams 12 and 14 stereoselectively in good yield.


Recent reports from these laboratories have demonstrated the utility of nitroalkenes as heterodienes in [4+2] cycloadditions. ${ }^{1}$ These reactions succeed both intra- and intermolecularly with unactivated olefins in the presence of $\mathrm{SnCl}_{4}$ (Scheme I). A variety of synthetically useful transformations of the cyclic nitronates that are produced have been described. ${ }^{16}$ Perhaps the most interesting of these is their reactions as 1,3 -dipoles in $[3+2]$ cycloadditions ${ }^{\mathrm{la}, 1 \mathrm{~b}}$ (Scheme I). ${ }^{2}$ This reaction was first discovered by Tartakovskii ${ }^{3 \mathrm{a}}$ and was later developed by him ${ }^{3 \mathrm{~b}}$ as well as Carrie. ${ }^{4}$ In addition, Torssell ${ }^{5}$ has investigated 1,3-dipolar cycloadditions of silyl nitronates. In comparison to the enormous success of nitrones ${ }^{6}$ and nitrile oxides, ${ }^{7}$ these functions have found limited application in synthesis. We describe herein a simple strategy, which expands the utility of the nitronates by intramolecularly coupling a 1,3 -dipolar cycloaddition ${ }^{8,9}$ with the [ $\left.4+2\right]$ process that creates them. ${ }^{10}$
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Chart I


1a: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me} / \mathrm{H}$ 1b: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me} ; \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me} / \mathrm{H}$


2a: $\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{R}^{1} / \mathrm{H}$ 2b: $Z=C N / H$


3a: $R=H$
3b: R=Me

To explore the scope of this process we evaluated three structural variables: (1) length of the tether between the dipole and dipolarophile, (2) substitution on the nitronate, and (3) dipolarophile configuration. To eliminate the complications due to exo/endo isomers ${ }^{1 \mathrm{~b}}$ in the $[4+2]$ cycloaddition, tetramethylethylene ( T ) was used as the dienophile. Since the nitroalkene cycloaddition requires $\mathrm{SnCl}_{4}$, we were concerned about Lewis basic activating groups and initially used nitriles (vide infra). However, experimentation showed that unsaturated esters are fully compatible and served as our dipolarophiles.

Three families of substrates were studied that would create five(1), six- (2), and seven- (3) membered rings. The precursors were prepared by sequential Wittig olefination and nitroolefination of terminally differentiated $1,4-,^{11 a} 1,5-,^{11 b}$ and 1,6 -dialdehyde ${ }^{11 \mathrm{c}}$ equivalents. ${ }^{11}$ The results with substrates 1 are collected in Table I. For both di- and trisubstituted nitroalkenes the [ $4+2$ ] cycloaddition proceeded rapidly in dichloromethane with $\mathrm{SnCl}_{4}$ at $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In this solvent, however, a byproduct from Wag-ner-Meerwein rearrangement ${ }^{1 \mathrm{~b}}$ was also formed in significant amounts ( $10-15 \%$ ). The formation of this byproduct was suppressed by using toluene as the solvent. The reactions were cleaner, but considerably slower in toluene, requiring warming to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for completion. In the $E$-enoate series the second cycloaddition occurred spontaneously upon workup to afford the tricyclic nitrosoacetals $\mathbf{4}$ as single diastereomers. The full stereostructure of the double cycloadducts was assured by an X-ray crystal structure determination of $\mathbf{4 b T}$. The all-cis ring fused arrangement arises from a syn-endo transition state, ${ }^{12}$ which places the ester function exo. By contrast, the $[4+2]$ cycloadducts from $(Z)-1$ a and $(Z)-1 \mathrm{~b}$ could be isolated and underwent subsequent [ $3+2$ ] cycloaddition upon brief warming. While 5 aT was formed as a mixture of three isomers ( $6: 1: 1.8$ ), 5bT was produced as a $95: 5$ mixture of endo-exo cycloadducts. That $\mathbf{4 b T}$ and $\mathbf{5 b T}$ possessed
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## Scheme I




Scheme II


Table I. Tandem Cycloadditions with 1


| educt | product | R | $\mathrm{Z}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | tim, $^{\boldsymbol{a}} \mathrm{h}$ | time $^{b}{ }^{b} \mathrm{~h}$ | $\mathrm{ds}^{\boldsymbol{c}}$ | yield, $\%$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(E)-\mathbf{1 a}$ | $\mathbf{4 a T}$ | H | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ | H | Me | Me | 7 | 0 | $>100: 1$ | $68^{d}$ |
| $(E)-\mathbf{1 b}$ | $\mathbf{4 b T}$ | Me | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ | H | Me | Me | 7 | 0 | $>100: 1$ | 72 |
| $(Z)-\mathbf{1 a}$ | $\mathbf{5 a T}$ | H | H | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | Me | Me | 8 | 2.5 | $e$ | 76 |
| $(Z)-\mathbf{1 b}$ | $\mathbf{5 b T}$ | Me | H | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | Me | Me | 8 | 3 | $20: 1$ | 78 |
| $(E)-\mathbf{1 b} \mathbf{b}^{f}$ | $\mathbf{4 b B} / \mathbf{4 b \mathbf { b B } ^ { \prime }}$ | Me | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | H | $n-\mathrm{BuO}^{8}$ | H | 1 | 0 | $>100: 1$ | 80 |

${ }^{a}[4+2]$ cycloaddition. Time at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{b}[3+2]$ cycloaddition. ${ }^{c}$ Determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. ${ }^{d}$ Based on recovered starting material. ${ }^{6}$ Three isomers (6:1:1:8). ${ }^{f} \mathrm{TiCl}_{2}(\mathrm{O}-i-\mathrm{Pr})_{2} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{8}$ Mixture of anomers.

Table II. Tandem Cycloadditions with 2


| educt | product | $Z^{1}$ | $\mathrm{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | time, ${ }^{a}$ min | yield, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ \% | time, ${ }^{c}$ h | ds ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | yield, \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (E)-2a | 10aT | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ | H | Me | Me | 10 | 66 | 14 | 2.6:1 | 90 |
| (Z)-2a | 11aT | H | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ | Me | Me | 25 | 72 | 7 | >100:1 | 93 |
| (E)-2b | 10bT | CN | H | Me | Me | 25 | 83 | 20 | 2.8:1 | 97 |
| (Z)-2b | 11bT | H | CN | Me | Me | 25 | 75 | 7 | >100:1 | 95 |
| (Z) $2 \mathrm{a}^{\text {e }}$ | 11aB | H | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | $n-\mathrm{BuO}^{f}$ | H | 30 | 73 | 78 | 32:1 | 93 |
|  | 11aB' | H | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | $n-\mathrm{BuO}^{h}$ | H |  | 11 | 78 | 16:1 | 62 |

${ }^{a}[4+2]$ cycloaddition. ${ }^{b}$ solated yield of 9 . ${ }^{c}[3+2]$ cycloaddition. ${ }^{d}$ Determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) and isolation. ${ }^{e} \mathrm{TiCl}_{2}(\mathrm{O}-i-\mathrm{Pr})_{2} /$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{f} \alpha$ isomer, more polar. ${ }^{8} \mathrm{At} 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{h} \beta$ isomer, less polar.
the same tricyclic stereostructure was confirmed by the reduction ${ }^{5}$ /oxidation ${ }^{13}$ sequence in Scheme II, which afforded the same $\alpha$-keto lactam 8 from each. Thus, $\mathbf{4 b T}$ and 5 bT differ only in the configuration of the ester-bearing carbon arising from $E$ - or $Z$-enoates. Both the slower rate of $[3+2]$ cycloaddition and erosion in stereoselectivity in the $Z$ series are consequences of the well-established exo preference for dipolarophiles in reaction with nitronates. ${ }^{1 a, b, 2 a, 3 b, 4}$

In the homologous series $\mathbf{2}$, containing a trimethylene tether, we employed only trisubstituted nitroalkenes but compared carboethoxy (2a) and cyano (2b) activating groups. As with system

1, the $\mathrm{SnCl}_{4}$-induced cycloaddition proceeded rapidly at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in dichloromethane. In toluene the reactions were cleaner (71-89\% yield) but much slower, so we opted to use dichloromethane since the byproduct was easily removed chromatographically. The results, Table II, were complementary to those from 1. In this series, the $[4+2]$ cycloadducts $9 \mathbf{9 T} / \mathbf{9 b T}$ could be isolated and

(E/Z)-9aT/9bT

Scheme III

purified, and they all underwent subsequent cycloaddition at 80 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. However in this case the $Z$ dienophiles reacted faster and with higher selectivity. This divergent behavior was easily understood after the stereostructure of the cycloadducts was established by X-ray crystallographic analysis of 11bT. The trans $A / C$ cis $B / C$ structure arises from a syn-exo transition state, which permits better staggering of the trimethylene tether. The exo-folding preference is reinforced in the $Z$ series by simultaneously placing the ester/nitrile in the electronically preferred exo position. Both double cycloadducts 11aT and 11bT were formed stereoselectively in excellent yield. We were pleased that both dipolarophile activating functions were compatible. The nitriles cyclized more readily than the esters. The third series of substrates, 3 , underwent [ $4+2$ ] cycloaddition to give cyclic nitronates with T and $\mathrm{B}(70-80 \%$ yield). However, they have thus far resisted attempts to induce the $[3+2]$ process. This is not surprising, since the formation of a fused seven-membered ring by an intramolecular $[3+2]$ cycloaddition has little precedent. ${ }^{8 a}$

The problem of forming exo/endo isomers and regioisomers with unsymmetrically substituted alkenes presents a serious limitation. However, the synthetic utility of these reactions is enhanced by the observation that $n$-butyl vinyl ether ( $B$ ) induces the tandem cycloaddition as well. For the more nucleophilic enol ethers we have followed Seebach's recommendation in the use of $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{O}-i-\mathrm{Pr})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as the Lewis acid in dichloromethane solution. With this protocol, substrate ( $E$ )-1b afforded the double cycloadducts $\mathbf{4 b B} / \mathbf{4 b B}{ }^{\prime}$ (Table I) as separable mixture of anomers in high yield. The anomer mixture was variable and was shown to be sensitive to reagent/substrate stoichiometry. Kinetic control of the anomeric center was not established. Similarly, $(Z)$-2a underwent $[4+2]$ cycloaddition to afford $9 \mathbf{a B} / 9 \mathbf{a B} \mathbf{B}^{\prime}$ as a separable (7:1) mixture of anomers (Scheme III). The separated isomers underwent facile cyclization to give the double cycloadducts 11aB and 11aB' (Table II).

Hydrogenation ${ }^{5}$ of the separated isomers $\mathbf{4 b B}$ and $\mathbf{4 b B}^{\prime}$ with Raney nickel at atmospheric pressure gave a single tricyclic lactam 12 (Scheme IV). Complete hydrogenolysis of 11aB and 11aB' required slightly higher pressure and gave the amino ester 13. ${ }^{14}$ Formation of the lactam 14 required heating due to the formation of trans ring fusion. These products arise from the sequence (1) $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ hydrogenolysis, (2) hemiacetal breakdown, (3) imine formation, (4) saturation, and (5) lactamization. ${ }^{15}$ The stereoselective construction of these polycyclic compounds in two or three steps from readily prepared acyclic precursors bodes well for the application of this strategy in synthesis.

Finally, we have recently demonstrated that chiral, nonracemic vinyl ethers produce the double cycloadduct $\mathbf{1 2}$ with very high stereoselectivity ( $>90 \%$ ee). ${ }^{16}$ Opportunities in this area and the selective manipulation of the cycloadducts are under current investigation.

## Experimental Section

General Methods. See supplementary material. (NMR coupling constants, $J$, are given in hertz.)

Ethyl rel-( $1 R, 3 S, 6 a R, 8 a R, 8 \mathrm{bS})-5,5,6,6,8 \mathrm{~b}$-Pentamethyl-6a, 7,8,8atetrahydrocyclopenta $(1,2,3-\mathrm{hj}$ lisooxazolo $[2,3-b\lceil 1,2]$ oxazine-1-carboxylate (4bT), To a magnetically stirred, cold $\left(-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of nitroalkene ( $E$ ) $\mathbf{- 1 b}$ ( $106 \mathrm{mg}, 0.491 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and 2,3 -dimethyl-2-butene ( 84 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.994 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) in dry toluene ( $5 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.1 \mathrm{M}$ solution) was

[^1]added freshly distilled tin(IV) chloride ( $115 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.994 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv). The solution was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h and at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 7 h , quenched with 0.5 N NaOH in methanol ( 8 mL ), and allowed to warm to room temperature. The mixture was then poured into saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 25 mL ) and extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The dichloromethane extracts were washed with water ( 25 mL ) and brine ( 25 mL ), dried over magnesium sulfate, and concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue was chromatographed on silica gel (hexane/EtOAc, 4/1) to afford 102 mg ( $75 \%$ ) of 4 bT as a white solid, which was recrystallized with hexane. For 4bT: mp $58-59^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (hexane); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 4.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.73$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(1)), 4.20\left(\mathrm{q}, J=7.15,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(15)\right), 2.68(\mathrm{q}, J=6.76,1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{HC}(8 \mathrm{a})$ ), 2.22-1.75 (m, 5 H$), 1.41\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(5)\right), 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(5)$ ), $1.26\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.15,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}(16)\right.$ ), $1.21\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}(9)\right), 1.19$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(6)$ ), 0.98 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(6)$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75.5 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 170.00(\mathrm{C}(14)), 86.31(\mathrm{C}(1)), 85.12(\mathrm{C}(5)), 80.48(\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{~b}))$, 61.01 (C(13)), 59.30 (C(8a)), 57.21 (C(6a)), 36.93 (C(6)), 31.37 (C(8)), 29.47 (C(9)), 29.38 (C(7)), 27.20/27.02 ( $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(5)$ ), 25.95/24.30 (C$\left.\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}(6)\right), 13.98$ (C(14)); IR (CC1 $)_{4} 2982(\mathrm{~m}), 2874(\mathrm{w}), 1757(\mathrm{~m}), 1738$ (m), 1446 (w), 1392 (w), 1377 (m), 1192 (m), 1169 (m), 1151 (m), 1041 (w), $850(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; MS ( 70 eV ) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 297\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 25\right), 224$ (13), 167 (22), 166 (21), 136 (18), 96 (17), 95 (16), 83 (100), 81 (46), 69 (56), 57 (50), 55 (50), 43 (55); TLC $R_{f} 0.68$ (hexane/EtOAc, 4/1); GC $t_{\mathrm{R}} 7.84 \mathrm{~min}$ (COV-17 ( 50 m ), $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ (297.394): C, 64.62; H, 9.15 ; N, 4.71. Found: C, 64.69; H, 9.13; N, 4.75.

Methyl rel-( $1 R, 3 R, 6 \mathrm{aS}, 8 \mathrm{aS}, 8 \mathrm{~b} R)-5,5,6,6,8 \mathrm{~b}-$ Pentamethyl-6a,7,8,8a-tetrahydrocyclopenta $(1,2,3-h]$ lisooxazolo $[2,3-b[1,2]$ oxazine- 1 carboxylate (5bT). To a magnetically stirred, cold $\left(-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of nitroalkene ( $Z$ )-1b ( $92 \mathrm{mg}, 0.462 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and 2,3 -dimethyl-2butene ( $110 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.924 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) in dry toluene ( $5 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.09 \mathrm{M}$ solution) was added freshly distilled tin(IV) chloride ( $108 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.924$ mmol, 2.0 equiv). The solution was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h and at -20 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h , quenched with 0.5 N NaOH in methanol ( 8 mL ), and allowed to warm to room temperature. The mixture was then poured into saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 25 mL ) and extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The dichloromethane extracts were washed with water ( 25 mL ) and brine ( 25 mL ), dried over magnesium sulfate, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in toluene ( 10 mL ) and heated at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The solution was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue was chromatographed on silica gel (hexane/EtOAc, 4/1) to afford $96 \mathrm{mg}(78 \%)$ of 5 bT , a white solid, as a $20: 1$ mixture of isomers. An analytical sample of the major isomer was obtained after recrystallization from hexane. For $\mathbf{5 b T}$ (major): mp 99-100 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (hexane); ${ }^{~}{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ NR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 4.90$ (d, $J=8.66,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(1)), 3.75\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}(\mathrm{C}(15)), 2.96(\mathrm{q}, J=8.91,1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $\mathrm{HC}(8 \mathrm{a})$ ), 2.10-1.95 (m, 4 H$), 1.72-1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(5)$ ), 1.28 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(5)$ ), $1.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}(9)\right)$ ) $1.13(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(6)$ ), 0.95 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(6)$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 170.58 (C(14)), 84.25 (C(5)), 83.98 (C(1)), 80.79 (C(8b)), 57.21 (C(15)), 56.39 ( $\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{a})), 51.83$ (C(6a)), 37.91 (C(6)), 30.44 (C(8)), 29.92 (C(9)), $29.28(\mathrm{C}(7)), 26.98\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(5)\right), 25.62\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(5)\right), 25.53(\mathrm{C}-$ $\left.\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}(6)\right), 24.46\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(6)\right)$; IR ( $\left.\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 2979(\mathrm{~m}), 2901(\mathrm{~m}), 2874$ (w), 1772 (m), 1732 (m), 1460 (w), 1437 (w), 1392 (w), 1377 (m), 1370 (w), 1288 (w), 1257 ( w ), 1198 (m), 1175 (m), 1153 (m), 1099 (w), 1019 ( w ), $934(\mathrm{w}), 860(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ; \mathrm{MS}(70 \mathrm{eV}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 283\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 11\right), 226$ (11), 224 (11), 184 (20), 168 (20), 166 (29), 153 (15), 136 (25), 128 (11), 125 (49), 124 (12), 121 (12), 119 (10), 107 (19), 93 (24), 83 (89), 69 (76), 55 (71), 43 (87), 41 (100); TLC $R_{f} 0.35$ (hexane/EtOAc, 4/1). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ (283.367): C, 63.58; H, 8.89; N, 4.94. Found: C, $63.69, \mathrm{H}, 8.83$; N, 5.04.

Ethyl 2-( $Z$ )-5,6-Dihydro-2-oxido-3,5,5,6,6-pentamethyl-4 $\boldsymbol{H}$-1,2-oxa-zine-4-hexenoate ( 9 aT ). To a magnetically stirred solution of nitroalkene ( $Z$ )-2a ( $292 \mathrm{mg}, 1.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and 2,3 -dimethyl-2-butene ( 306 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 256 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) in dichloromethane ( $10 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.12 \mathrm{M}$ solution) was added freshly distilled tin(IV) chloride ( $302 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.56 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) dropwise at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 25 min , the mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 5 mL ) and ethyl acetate ( 30 mL ) was added. The mixture was warmed to $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ slowly ( $\sim 20 \mathrm{~min}$ ) and diluted with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 30 mL ). The organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 30 mL ) and brine ( 30 mL ). The aqueous layers were back-extracted with ethyl acetate ( $2 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate, concentrated under reduced pressure, and chromatographed on silica gel (hexane/EtOAc, 5/3, 250 mL ; hexane/EtOAc, $1 / 1,250 \mathrm{~mL}$; EtOAc, 500 mL ) to afford $63.7 \mathrm{mg}(16 \%)$ of rearranged product and $287.1 \mathrm{mg}(72 \%)$ of 9 aT as white solids, which were recrystallized with hexane. For $9 \mathrm{aT}: \mathrm{mp} 52-53^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (hexane); 'H NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.17(\mathrm{dt}, J=11.6,7.6,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(14)), 5.78(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=11.6,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(15)), 4.14\left(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(17)\right), 2.75-2.60(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(13)$ ), 2.21 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(4)$ ), 2.06 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}(19)$ ),

Scheme IV

1.68-1.59 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(12)$ ), 1.56-1.48 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(11)$ ), 1.29 (s, 3 $\left.\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}(7)\right), 1.28\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}(8)\right), 1.26\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}(18)\right), 0.96$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}(9)$ ), $0.95\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}(10)\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 166.04$ (C(16)), 148.64 (C(14)), 124.19 (C(3)), 120.42 (C(15)), 86.25 ( $\mathrm{C}(6)$ ), 59.69 ( $\mathrm{C}(17)$ ), 45.79 ( $\mathrm{C}(4)), 37.13$ ( $\mathrm{C}(5)$ ), 29.71 ( $\mathrm{C}(13)), 28.85$ $(\mathrm{C}(11)), 27.63(\mathrm{C}(12)), 23.05\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.66\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.24\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.23$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 16.77(\mathrm{C}(9)), 14.09(\mathrm{C}(18)) ; \mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 2984(\mathrm{~m}), 1721(\mathrm{~s}), 1646$ (w), 1597 (m), 1462 (w), 1416 (w), 1399 (w), 1383 (w), 1269 (m), 1237 (m), 1190 (s), 1165 (m), 1034 (w), 934 (w), 885 (w) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ; \mathrm{MS}(10 \mathrm{eV})$ $m / z 312\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,25\right), 311\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100\right), 281(16), 254$ (18), 253 (18), 239 (17), 238 (64), 236 (21), 192 (13), 181 (13), $180(50), 179$ (17), 153 (14), 152 (13), 150 (13), 140 (73), 122 (12), 121 (20), 120 (23), 111 (12), 110 (46), 106 (15), 84 (30), 59 (18); TLC $R_{f} 0.08$ (hexane/EtOAc, $1 / 1$ ), 0.19 (EtOAc). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ (311.42): C, 65.57; H, 9.38; N, 4.50. Found: C, 65.52; H, 9.33; N, 4.46.

Ethyl rel-( $1 R, 3 S, 6 \mathrm{a} R, 9 \mathrm{aS}, 9 \mathrm{bS})-5,5,6,6,9 \mathrm{~b}$-Pentamethyldecahydro-1H-isooxazolo[2,3,4-h[2,1]benzoxazine-1-carboxylate (11aT), A stirred solution of $9 \mathrm{aT}(138.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.46 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry toluene $(5 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.09 \mathrm{M}$ solution) was briefly degassed twice at room temperature. The solution was heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 7 h and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was chromatographed on silica gel (hexane/EtOAc, $10 / 1,100 \mathrm{~mL}$; hexane/EtOAc, $5 / 1,100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) to afford $129.1 \mathrm{mg}(93 \%)$ of 11 aT as a viscous oil. For 11 aT : bp $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(1.6 \times 10^{-4}\right.$ Torr) (bulb-to-bulb distillation); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $10.8,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(1)), 4.25-4.13\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(16)\right), 3.25$ (ddd, $J=13.2$, $10.8,3.1,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(9 \mathrm{a})$ ), 2.10-2.00 (m, 2 H$), 1.82-1.57$ (m, 5 H$)$, $1.45-1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.37\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right), 1.25\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}(17)\right)$, $1.14\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right), 1.10\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right), 1.08\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right), 0.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3$ $\left.\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 169.82(\mathrm{C}(15)), 81.87(\mathrm{C}(5))$, $78.83(\mathrm{C}(1)), 70.82(\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~b})), 60.55(\mathrm{C}(16)), 46.39(\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a})), 42.26(\mathrm{C}-$ (6a)), $37.60(\mathrm{C}(6)), 25.84\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 23.88\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.08\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 22.42$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 22.18\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.87\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.63\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 19.63(\mathrm{C}(10)), 13.95$ (C(17)); IR (CCl $\mathrm{Cl}_{4} 2982(\mathrm{~m}), 2948(\mathrm{~m}), 1759(\mathrm{~s}), 1730(\mathrm{~m}), 1470(\mathrm{w})$, 1449 (w), 1395 (w), 1377 (w), 1368 (w), 1337 (w), 1266 (w), 1239 (w), 1188 (s), 1144 (w), 1109 (w), 1078 (w), 1028 (w), 970 (w), 938 (w), 909 (m), 884 (w), 853 (m), $839(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; MS ( 10 eV ) m/z $312\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1\right.$, 29), 311 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 99$ ), 254 (26), 238 (21), 180 (100), 150 (21), 110 (80), 97 (27); TLC $R_{f} 0.18$ (hexane/EtOAc, 10/1), 0.29 (hexane/EtOAc, 5/1), 0.45 (hexane/EtOAc, 10/3). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ (311.42): C, 65.57; H, 9.38; N, 4.50. Found: C, $65.25 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.34 ;$ N, 4.44.

Methyl rel-( $1 R, 3 S, 5 R, 6 \mathrm{a} R, 8 \mathrm{a} R, 8 \mathrm{bS})$-5-Butoxy-8b-methyl-6a,7,8,8a-tetrahydrocyclopenta[ $1,2,3-h j]$ isooxazolo $[2,3-b\lceil[1,2]$ oxazine-1carboxylate (4bB) and Methyl rel-(1R,3S,5S,6aR,8aR,8bS)-5-But-oxy-8b-methyl-6a, 7,8,8a-tetrahydrocyclopenta[1,2,3-hj]isooxazolo [2,3-bI1,2]oxazine-1-carboxylate (4bB'). To a magnetically stirred solution of titanium( 1 V ) isopropoxide ( $645 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.258 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) in dichloromethane ( 5.0 mL ), was added freshly distilled titanium(IV) chloride ( $247 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.258 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv). The solution was stirred at room temperature for 0.5 h and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; then a cold $\left(-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of nitroalkene ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and butyl vinyl ether ( 969 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 7.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv) in dichloromethane ( 2.5 mL ) was added via cannula. The resulting pale yellow solution was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 $h$, quenched with 0.5 N NaOH in methanol ( 10 mL ), and allowed to warm to room temperature. The mixture was then poured into diethyl ether ( 25 mL ) and washed with water $(3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layers were extracted with diethyl ether $(2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined ether layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4} / \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}, 1 / 1\right)$ and concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue was chromatographed on silica gel (hexane/EtOAc, 4/1) to afford 314 mg ( $70 \%$ ) of 4 bB and 45 mg ( $10 \%$ ) of 4 bB ' as clear oils. For 4 bB : bp $105-108{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.05$ Torr $){ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.48,6.42,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(5)), 4.86(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.3,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(1)), 3.85(\mathrm{dt}, J=9.63,6.77,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(10)), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3$ $\left.\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}(15)\right), 3.51(\mathrm{dt}, J=9.67,6.72,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(10)), 2.72(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.73$, $2.64,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(8 \mathrm{a})$ ), 2.14-1.34(m,11H),1.31(s,3H, H3C(9)), 0.90 $\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.31,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}(13)\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75.5 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 170.07$
( $\mathrm{C}(14)), 99.65(\mathrm{C}(5)), 86.63(\mathrm{C}(1)), 83.04(\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{~b})), 69.60(\mathrm{C}(10)), 57.28$ (C(15)), $52.25(\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{a})), 43.45(\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{a})), 34.35(\mathrm{C}(6)), 31.76(\mathrm{C}(11))$, 28.68 (C(8)), $27.94(\mathrm{C}(7))$, 24.58 (C(9)), 19.09 (C(12), $13.77(\mathrm{C}(13)$ ); IR (CCl $) 2957$ (m), 2934 (m), 2874 (m), 1761 (m), 1743 (m), 1439 (w), 1282 (w), 1252 (w), 1201 (w), 1180 (w), 1136 (m), 1097 (m), 1020 (w), $841(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ; \mathrm{MS}(70 \mathrm{eV}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 299\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 269(2), 163(9), 135(10)$, 107 (20), 81 (100), 57 (12), 41 (24); TLC $R_{f} 0.66$ (hexane/EtOAc, 2/1). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ (299.376): $\mathrm{C}, 60.18 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.42 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.68$. Found: C, $60.22 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.46 ; \mathbf{N}, 4.67$. For $\mathbf{4 b B}^{\prime}$ : bp $105-108^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.05$ Torr) ; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 4.94(\mathrm{t}, J=7.43,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(5)$ ), 4.78 (d, $J=7.92,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(1)), 3.81(\mathrm{dt}, J=9.62,6.86,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(10)$ ), 3.72 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}(15)$ ), $3.36(\mathrm{dt}, J=9.62,7.01,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(10)), 2.67(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(8 \mathrm{a})), 2.06-1.33(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 1.28\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}(9)\right), 0.84(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.33,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}(13)$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 170.14(\mathrm{C}(14)$ ), $98.62(\mathrm{C}(5)), 87.27(\mathrm{C}(1)), 85.27(\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{~b})), 67.88(\mathrm{C}(10)), 56.72(\mathrm{C}(15))$, 52.31 ( $\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{a})$ ), 43.15 ( $\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{a})$ ), 31.64 ( $\mathrm{C}(6)), 31.51$ (C(11), 28.69 (C(8)), 26.78 (C(7)), $23.68(\mathrm{C}(9)), 19.22$ (C(12)), $13.08(\mathrm{C}(13))$; IR ( $\left.\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right)$ 2959 (m), 2874 (m), 1744 (m), 1439 (w), 1283 (w), 1254 (w), 1200 (w), 1183 (w), 1119 (m), 1088 (w), 1039 (w), 1010 (w) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; MS ( 70 eV ) $m / z 299\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 269(3), 226(10), 163(12), 135(16), 107(25), 96$ (12), 81 (100), 79 (16), 57 (12), 55 (12), 41 (29); TLC $R_{f} 0.60$ (hexane/EtOAc, 2/1). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ (299.38): C, 60.18; H, 8.42; $\mathrm{N}, 4.68$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 60.08 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.43 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.78$.

Methyl rel-( $4 R, 6 R$ )-2-( $Z$ )-6-n-Butoxy-3-methyl-2-oxido-5,6-di-hydro- $4 \boldsymbol{H}-1,2$-oxazine-4-hexenoate ( 9 aB ) and Methyl re/-( $4 R, 6 S$ )-2( $Z$ )-6-n-Butoxy-3-methyl-2-oxido-5,6-dihydro-4H-1,2-oxazine-4-hexenoate $\left(9 \mathrm{aB}^{\prime}\right)$, To a magnetically stirred, cold $\left(-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of nitroalkene 2a ( $198 \mathrm{mg}, 0.93 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and butyl vinyl ether ( 240 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 1.85 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) in dichloromethane ( 2.5 mL ) was added dropwise a freshly prepared solution of titanium diisopropoxy dichloride (prepared as described in $\mathbf{4 b B}, 3.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv) in dichloromethane ( 2 mL ). After 30 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the reaction mixture was quenched with 0.5 N NaOH in methanol ( 8 mL ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting white emulsion was diluted with dichloromethane ( 50 mL ), $0.1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(20$ mL ), and saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was washed with $0.1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layers were back-extracted with dichloromethane ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate, concentrated under reduced pressure, and chromatographed on silica gel $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{EtOAc}\right.$, $1 / 1$ ) to afford $20.4 \mathrm{mg}(7 \%)$ of $9 \mathbf{a B}^{\prime}, 85.3 \mathrm{mg}(29 \%)$ of $9 \mathrm{aB} / 9 \mathrm{aB} \mathbf{B}^{\prime}(6: 1)$, and $139.5 \mathrm{mg}(48 \%)$ of 9 aB . For 9aB: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{( } 300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.17(\mathrm{dt}, J=11.4,7.6,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(14)), 5.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(15))$, 5.24 (t, $J=4.2,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(6)), 3.95$ (dt, $J=9.4,6.6,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(7)), 3.67$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}(17)$ ), $3.51(\mathrm{dt}, J=9.4,6.6,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(7)), 2.66(\mathrm{q}, J=7.4$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(13)$ ), 2.43 (quintet, $J=6.4,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(4)$ ), 2.17 (ddd, $J=13.6$, $\left.7.7,3.9,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{eq}} \mathrm{C}(5)\right), 2.03\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}(18)\right), 1.78(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.6,5.1$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ax}} \mathrm{C}(5)$ ), 1.63 (quintet, $J=7.4,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(8)$ ), $1.50(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(11), 1.46-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(12)), 1.32(\mathrm{q}, J=7.3,2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(9)\right), 0.88\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}(10)\right)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 166.26(\mathrm{C}(16)), 149.17(\mathrm{C}(14)), 124.61$ (C(3)), 119.61 (C(15)), 102.40 $(\mathrm{C}(6)), 69.18(\mathrm{C}(7)), 50.68(\mathrm{C}(17)), 34.56(\mathrm{C}(4)), 31.11\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.60$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.01(\mathrm{C}(11)), 25.72(\mathrm{C}(12)), 18.81(\mathrm{C}(9)), 16.30(\mathrm{C}(18)), 13.45$ (C(10)); IR (CCl $\left.\mathrm{Cl}_{4}\right) 2957(\mathrm{~m}), 2872(\mathrm{~m}), 1761(\mathrm{~m}), 1725(\mathrm{~s}), 1646(\mathrm{~m})$, 1611 (s), 1549 (w), 1439 (w), 1408 (w), 1375 (w), 1337 (w), 1244 (m), 1200 (s), 1175 (s), 1121 (w), 1096 (w), 1057 (w), 1005 (w), 976 (w), $902(\mathrm{w}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; TLC $R_{f} 0.40$ (EtOAc). For $9 \mathrm{aB}{ }^{\prime}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.16(\mathrm{dt}, J=11.4,7.6,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(14)), 5.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4,1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{HC}(15)), 5.25(\mathrm{t}, J=2.6,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(6)), 3.91(\mathrm{dt}, J=9.6,6.7,1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{HC}(7)), 3.68\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}(17)\right), 3.56(\mathrm{dt}, J=9.6,6.5,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(7)), 2.65$ (q, $\left.J=6.8,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(13)\right)$, 2.63-2.47(m,1 H, HC(4)), $2.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $0.9,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}(18)$ ), 2.01 (ddd, $J=13.5,9.0,2.0,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{oq}} \mathrm{C}(5)$ ), 1.76 (dt, $J=13.5,3.6,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ax}} \mathrm{C}(5)$ ), $1.75-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(11), 1.56-1.46$ (m, $\left.\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(8)\right), 1.46-1.36(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(11)), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(12)\right), 1.31(\mathrm{q}, J$ $\left.=7.3 .2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(9)\right), 0.87\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}(10)\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75.5 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 166.65(\mathrm{C}(16)), 149.29(\mathrm{C}(14)), 124.65(\mathrm{C}(3)), 120.06$
$(\mathrm{C}(15)), 101.04(\mathrm{C}(6)), 68.97(\mathrm{C}(7)), 51.10(\mathrm{C}(17)), 33.08(\mathrm{C}(4)), 31.78$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.39\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.43\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.58(\mathrm{C}(11)), 25.49(\mathrm{C}(12)), 19.12$ (C(9)), $16.60(\mathrm{C}(18)), 13.78$ (C(10)); IR ( $\left.\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 2957(\mathrm{~m}), 2872(\mathrm{~m})$, 1763 (w), 1725 (s), 1647 (w), 1615 (m), 1547 (w), 1439 (m), 1408 (w), 1383 (w), 1335 (w), 1266 (m), 1200 (s), 1179 (m), 1119 (m), 1088 (m), $1040(\mathrm{~m}), 984(\mathrm{~m}), 905(\mathrm{w}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; TLC $R_{f} 0.52$ ( EtOAc ).

Methyl rel-( $1 R, 3 S, 5 R, 6 \mathrm{aR}, 9 \mathrm{aS}, 9 \mathrm{bS})$-5-n-Butoxy-9b-methylhexahydro $1 H$-isooxazolo $[2,3,4-h \Gamma 2,1$ benzoxazine-1-carboxylate (11aB), To a solution of nitronate $9 \mathrm{aB}(226.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol})$ in freshly distilled toluene ( $23 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.03 \mathrm{M}$ solution) was added $\sim 40 \mathrm{mg}$ of anhydrous sodium bicarbonate. The solution was carefully degassed twice and then heated to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 7 h . The mixture was filtered and concentrated. The crude product ratio was determined by means of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (97:3), and the crude products were chromatographed on silica gel (hexane/EtOAc, $10 / 1,200 \mathrm{~mL}$; hexane/EtOAc, $7.5 / 1,300 \mathrm{~mL}$; hexane/EtOAc, 5/1, 200 mL ; hexane/EtOAc, 5/2, 200 mL ) to afford 190.5 $\mathrm{mg}(84 \%)$ of 11 aB . For 11aB: bp $170^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(1.2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{Torr}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.8,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(1)), 4.66$ (dd, $J=9.9$, $2.0,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(5)), 3.93$ (dt, $J=9.6,6.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(11)$ ), 3.73 (s, 3 H , $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}(16)$ ), 3.52 (dt, $J=9.6,6.9,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(11)$ ), 3.21 (ddd, $J=13.5$, $10.8,3.2,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(9 \mathrm{a})$ ), 2.20 (dt, $J=12.1,5.9,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(6)), 2.06-2.00$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(6)), 1.81-1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-1.31$ (m, 2 H), $1.15\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}(10)\right), 0.90\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}(14)\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75.5 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 170.19(\mathrm{C}(15)), 99.88(\mathrm{C}(5)), 79.39(\mathrm{C}(1))$, 71.02 ( $\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~b})$ ), 69.43 ( $\mathrm{C}(11)$ ), 51.80 ( $\mathrm{C}(16)$ ), 40.24 ( $\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a})$ ), 37.10 (C(6a)), 31.54 (C(6)), $30.10(\mathrm{C}(12))$, 25.96 (C(7)), 22.63 (C(9)), 21.98 (C(8)), 19.02 (C(13)), 18.58 (C(10)), 13.76 (C(14)); IR (CCl 4 ) 2955 (s), 2869 (m), 2361 (w), 1763 (s) (C=O), 1736 (m) (C=O), 1549 (m), 1449 (w), 1437 (w), 1374 (w), 1331 (w), 1257 (m), 1200 (s), 1163 (s), 1078 (m), 1057 (m), 1003 (m), 922 (w), 901 (w), 837 (s) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; MS (10 $\mathrm{eV}) m / z 313\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 46\right), 255(13), 254$ (53), $209(26), 191$ (17), 184 (11), 183 (34), 182 (100), 180 (22), 155 (12), 123 (17), 121 (15), 95 (46); TLC $R_{f} 0.08$ (hexane/EtOAc, 10/1), 0.22 (hexane/EtOAc, 5/1), 0.35 (hexane/EtOAc, 10/3). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ (313.39): C , $61.32 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.69$; N, 4.47. Found: C, 61.42; H, 8.75; N, 4.39.

Methyl rel-( $1 R, 3 S, 5 S, 6 \mathrm{a} R, 9 \mathrm{aS}, 9 \mathrm{bS})-5-n$-Butoxy-9b-methylhexa-hydro-1H-isooxazolo $\left[2,3,4-h \boldsymbol{T}^{2}, 1\right.$ benzoxazine-1-carboxylate (11aB'). To a solution of nitronate $9 \mathrm{aB}^{\prime}(84 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol})$ in freshly distilled toluene ( $8.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.03 \mathrm{M}$ solution) was added 30 mg of anhydrous sodium bicarbonate. The solution was carefully degassed twice and then heated to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 7 h . The mixture was filtered and concentrated. The crude product ratio was determined by means of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (93:7), and the crude products were chromatographed on silica gel (hexane/ EtOAc, $10 / 1,100 \mathrm{~mL}$; hexane/EtOAc, $7.5 / 1,100 \mathrm{~mL}$; hexane/EtOAc, $5 / 1,50 \mathrm{~mL}$; hexane/EtOAc, $5 / 2,50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) to afford $52.4 \mathrm{mg}(62.4 \%)$ of 11aB'. For 11aB': bp $165^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(8.1 \times 10^{-4}\right.$ Torr) ${ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.5,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(5)), 4.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.8,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(1))$, 3.95 (dt, $J=9.5,6.9,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(11)), 3.73\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}(16)\right), 3.43(\mathrm{dt}$, $J=9.5,6.6,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(11)$ ), 3.16 (ddd, $J=11.8,11.0,3.2,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(9 \mathrm{a})$ ), $2.52(\mathrm{dt}, J=12.6,5.8,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(6)), 2.07(\mathrm{dt}, J=12.6,2.5,1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{HC}(6)), 1.93(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.3,3.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 1.82-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.62-1.30(\mathrm{~m}$, $8 \mathrm{H}), 1.17\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}(10)\right), 0.91\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}(14)\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 170.22(\mathrm{C}(15)), 99.44(\mathrm{C}(5)), 79.50(\mathrm{C}(1)), 71.02$ ( $\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~b}))$, $66.62(\mathrm{C}(11)), 51.80(\mathrm{C}(16)), 40.35(\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a})), 33.63(\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{a}))$, $31.32\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.29\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.66\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 22.74\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.53\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 19.23 ( $\mathrm{C}(13)$ ), 18.75 ( $\mathrm{C}(10)$ ), 13.83 ( $\mathrm{C}(14)$ ); IR ( $\left.\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 2957$ (s), 2936 (s), 2866 (m), 1763 (s) (C=O), 1734 (m) (C=O), 1549 (m), 1451 (w), 1437 (w), 1383 (w), 1335 (w), 1264 (s), 1202 (s), 1115 (s), 1088 (m), $1040(\mathrm{~m}), 1003(\mathrm{~m}), 978(\mathrm{~m}), 911(\mathrm{w}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; MS ( 10 eV ) m/z $313\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, 26), 254 (24), 240 (38), 209 (19), 191 (17), 183 (26), 182 (100), 180 (14), 149 (24), 123 (17), 121 (15), 95 (32); TLC $R_{f} 0.08$ (hexane/EtOAc, $10 / 1$ ), 0.22 (hexane/EtOAc, 5/1), 0.35 (hexane/EtOAc, 10/3). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ (313.39): C, 61.32; H, 8.69; N, 4.47. Found: C, 61.33; H, 8.70; N, 4.47.
rel-(1R,3S,5aR,7aR,7bS)-1Hydroxy-7b-methyl-2-oxo-5a,6,7,7a-tetrahydrocyclopenta[1,2,3-gi]pyrrolidino $[1,2-\mathrm{a}$ ]pyrrolidine (12). To a solution of nitrosoacetal $\mathbf{4 b B}(178 \mathrm{mg}, 0.595 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol ( 3 mL )
was added a catalytic amount of Raney nickel. The suspension was stirred under $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ( 1 atm ) at room temperature for 24 h , filtered through a Celite pad, and concentrated, and the residue was chromatographed on silica gel (hexane/EtOAc, 1/3) to afford $87 \mathrm{mg}(81 \%)$ of 12 as a white solid, which recrystallized with ethyl acetate. For 12: mp 115-116 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (hexane); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 4.67$ (dd, $J=6.92,2.31$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(1)), 3.93$ (d, $J=2.9,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}\left(\right.$ exch $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ ), 3.85 (ddd, $J=$ $11.98,8.47,3.01,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(4)), 2.88$ (dt, $J=11.90,7.95,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(4))$, $2.58(\mathrm{q}, J=7.39,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(7 \mathrm{a})), 2.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.26\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}(8)\right), 1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 176.46(\mathrm{C}(2)), 75.56(\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~b})), 72.85(\mathrm{C}(1)), 51.11$ ( $\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{a})), 49.23(\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})), 42.11(\mathrm{C}(4)), 31.48(\mathrm{C}(5)), 31.00(\mathrm{C}(7)), 24.85$ (C (6)), 22.88 (C(8)); IR (KBr) 3337 (m), 2957 (m), 2864 (m), 1676 (s), $1558(\mathrm{~m}), 1541(\mathrm{~m}), 1456(\mathrm{~m}), 1412(\mathrm{~m}), 1336(\mathrm{~m}), 1153(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; MS $(70 \mathrm{eV}) m / z 182\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,48\right), 181\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 90\right), 166(100), 138(62), 124$ (16), 110 (25), 107 (44), 96 (42), 82 (55), 67 (46), 55 (89), 41 (80), 39 (55); TLC $R_{f} 0.11$ (hexane/EtOAc, 2/1); GC $t_{\mathrm{R}} 7.6 \mathrm{~min}\left(220^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{HP}-1\right.$, 50 m ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{2}(181.23): \mathrm{C}, 60.18 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.42 ; \mathrm{N}$, 4.68. Found: C, 60.08; H, 8.43; N, 4.78.
rel-( $1 R, 3 S, 5 \mathrm{a} R, 8 \mathrm{a} R, 8 \mathrm{bS})$-1-Hydroxy-8b-methyl-2-oxohexabydropyrrolidino $1,5,4-h j$ jindoline (14), To a solution of nitrosoacetal 11aB $(89.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol (reagent grade, $18 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.016 \mathrm{M}$ solution) was added Raney nickel ( $\sim 150 \mathrm{mg}$ ). The solution was placed in a pressure bottle, which was twice degassed and filled with hydrogen gas ( 180 psi ). The mixture was stirred for 16 h at room temperature under hydrogen pressure ( $140-180 \mathrm{psi}$ ). The crude product was filtered through Celite and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford 63.9 mg ( $98 \%$ ) of a crude amino alcohol 13 , which was used for the next cyclization without purification. The amino alcohol ( $63.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28$ mmol ) was dissolved in freshly distilled toluene ( $10 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.03 \mathrm{M}$ solution in the presence of $4-\AA$ molecular sieves, $\sim 300 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and refluxed for 42 $h$. The mixture was filtered, concentrated under reduced pressure, and chromatographed on silica gel (hexane/EtOAc, 2/1) to afford 40.1 mg ( $73 \%$ ) of a white solid, which was recrystallized with hexane. For 14: $\mathrm{mp} 114-116^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (hexane); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 4.37$ (dd, $J$ $=10.9,1.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(1)), 3.88\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.9,7.5,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\alpha} \mathrm{C}(4)\right), 3.12$ (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}$ ), 2.97 (td, $J=11.9,5.4,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\beta} \mathrm{C}(4)$ ), 2.14 (quintet, $\left.J=6.14,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\beta} \mathrm{C}(5)\right), 1.91-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.62-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.44-1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}(10)\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75.5 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 179.45(\mathrm{C}(2)), 73.48(\mathrm{C}(1)), 62.96(\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~b})), 50.24(\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a}))$, $45.17(\mathrm{C}(4)), 43.17(\mathrm{C}(6)), 34.90\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.06\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 22.47(\mathrm{C}(10))$, $19.61\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 17.61\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 3523$ (w), 3391 (w), 2948 (m), 2878 (m), 1711 (s) ( $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 1470 (w), 1381 (m), 1337 (m), 1302 (w), 1283 (w), 1235 (w), 1208 (w), 1165 (m), 1130 (w), 1111 (m), 1059 (w), 1024 (w), 995 (w), 955 (w), 909 (w), 872 (w) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; MS ( 10 eV ) $m / z 196\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,4\right), 195\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 22\right), 181(11), 180(100), 178(3), 167$ (9), 166 (5), 162 (9), 152 (13), 150 (18), 139 (3), 137 (3), 124 (8), 108 (3), 98 (4), 96 (5), 85 (3), 84 (6); TLC $R_{f} 0.06$ (hexane/EtOAc, $2 / 1$ ), 0.12 (hexane/EtOAc, $1 / 1$ ), 0.30 ( EtOAc ); GC $t_{\mathrm{R}} 9.1 \mathrm{~min}(\mathrm{HP}-1,50 \mathrm{~m}$, $\left.100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~min}), 10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}, 250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~min})\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11^{-}}$ $\mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ (195.26): $\mathrm{C}, 67.66 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.78 ; \mathrm{N}, 7.18$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 67.52 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.84$; N, 7.10.
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